(4)

CF 1052 (2)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 766 /2007

PETITIONER : SANJAY KUMAR UPADHYAY
Aged about 38 years, Assistant Teacher,
S/o Shri Ganesh Prasad Upadhyay,
Govt. High School, Kugra,
Distt. - Durg (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. STATE GOVT. OF CHHATTISGARH
Through : Secretary, School Education,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. DIRECTOR
Lok Shikshan, Raipur (C.G.)

3. DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER,
Durg (C.G.)

F.F. No. 610/07
Presented by Shri S. Upadhyay
on 18/1/07

RECEIVED COPY
18-1-07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(27)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.(S) No. 756 **सन्** **2007**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	8.2.2007 Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 756 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Bablu

P.T.O.

(28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 756 of 2007

Petitioner : Shankar Lal Soni

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

W. P.(S) No. 757 of 2007

Petitioner : Praveen Kumar Sharma

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and Others

W. P.(S) No. 758 of 2007

Petitioner : Yogendra Nath Pandey

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 760 of 2007

Petitioner : Sanjay Kumar Upadhyay

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer, for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 8th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. No. 499 of 2005 (Rajeshwar Rao Kridat vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others) and W.P. (s) No. 6676/2006 (Ramchhabile Yadav vs. State of Chhattisgarh

29

and others), which were disposed of vide orders dated 9.10.2006 and 6.12.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.

2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the orders dated 9.10.2006 and 6.12.2006 passed by this Court in W. P. No. 499 of 2005 and W.P. (s) No. 6676/2006. No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu